Application No. 10/661,637 Office Action of January 10, 2006 Response to Restriction Requirement dated February 3, 2006

REMARKS

Claims 1-23 and 25 are pending, and are again subject to restriction requirements.

A restriction requirement has been advanced as set forth at page 2 of the Action. That restriction is from among the following three groups of inventions:

- I. Claims 1-20 and 25, drawn to a composition.
- II. Claims 21-22 drawn to a device.
- III. Claim 23, drawn to a method.

Applicant elects with traverse to prosecute herein the claims identified for Group I; that is, Claims 1-20 and 25.

Applicant submits however that her traversal in the Response to Restriction Requirement dated December 19, 2005 was based on her belief that no additional effort would be required and thus no drain on Patent and Trademark Office resources would occur with a search and examination of all the claims in one application.

Instead, the Examiner further restricted the pending claims from two groups into three groups. Applicant maintains her position regarding efficient and effective use of Patent and Trademark Office resources.

Application No. 10/661,637 Office Action of January 10, 2006 Response to Restriction Requirement dated February 3, 2006

As such, Applicant requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the restriction requirement.

Nevertheless, having made the above restriction,

Applicant respectfully requests a prompt and favorable

examination of the subject application.

Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached by telephone at (860) 571-5001, by facsimile at (860) 571-5028 or by e-mail at steve.bauman@us.henkel.com. All correspondence should be directed to the address given below.

Respectfully submitted,

Steven C. Bauman

Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 33,832

HENKEL CORPORATION
Legal Department
1001 Trout Brook Crossing
Rocky Hill, Connecticut 06067